

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. BOX 1450 Alexadria, Vogonia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,272	05/02/2001	Lorinda L. Yoder	1-13722	6921
4859	7590 09/12/2003			
MACMILLAN SOBANSKI & TODD, LLC ONE MARITIME PLAZA FOURTH FLOOR 720 WATER STREET			EXAMINER	
			LEE, SHUN K	
TOLEDO, OF	H 43604-1619		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant	(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/847,272	YODER, LORINDA L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Shun Lee	2878			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the corresponde	ence address		
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. msions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve y within the statutory minim vill apply and will expire SI , cause the application to b	r, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be conside ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date	of this communication.		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	ıl.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) $\underline{1-12}$ is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerat	on.			
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirem	ent.			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖂 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2001</u> is/are: a)] accepted or b)⊠ c	bjected to by the Examine	!Г .		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1	.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🛚	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved	b) disapproved by the f	Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office actio	٦.			
12) 🔲 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Application No	<u> </u>		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the control of the certified copies of the prior application from the prior application from the prior application from the certified copies of the prior application from the certified copies of the prior application from the prior application f	eau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	ational Stage		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· ·		risional application)		
a)	The translation of the foreign language procedures the constant of the foreign language procedures the constant of the control	visional application	has been received.	•		
Attachment		o priority under 30	5.5.6. 33 120 anu/01 121	•		
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .	5) 🗌 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Pa otice of Informal Patent Applicat her:			
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of Pape	er No. 3		

Application/Control Number: 09/847,272

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "24" has been used to designate both line (connecting camera 20 and computer 26) and finish (see third plastic container 16 suspended from slot 32). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are also objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1) because where only a single view is used in an application to illustrate the claimed invention, it must not be numbered and the abbreviation "FIG." must not appear. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schrader *et al.* (US 5,459,313).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/847,272

Art Unit: 2878

In regard to claims 1, 2, and 7, Schrader et al. disclose (column 1, lines 8-22;

- Fig.) a container surface defect detection system and method comprising:
 - (a) a source (12) of ultraviolet radiation (column 7, lines 41-51);
 - (b) a plastic container (7; column 6, lines 43-49) having a surface and a longitudinal axis (column 5, lines 12-19);
 - (c) means (i.e., transport unit 1 comprising a conveyor 4) for directing said container(7) along a path (on a conveyor 4) through the radiation, such that the ultraviolet radiation is directed from the source to the container surface (column 3, lines 33-42);
 - (d) detecting means (CCD detector 28) for receiving and sensing a portion of the ultraviolet radiation reflected (column 3, lines 33-42) from the surface of said plastic container (7), and being responsive to generate a signal representing a defect in the surface of the container (*i.e.*, condition of the tested surface; column 3, lines 49-54, column 7, lines 6-11); and
 - (e) a computer means (3) connected to said detecting means (28) and being responsive to the generated signal for calculating a defect value, comparing the defect value with stored standards (*i.e.*, at least one reference signal; column 3, line 55 to column 5, line 6), and indicating one of acceptance and rejection (*i.e.*, classifying step can include classifying the containers as acceptable and unacceptable containers; column 3, line 55 to column 5, line 6, column 5, line 54 to column 6, line 3) for said plastic container (7).

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schrader *et al.* (US 5,459,313) in view of Gomibuchi (US 5,305,391), McGrath (US 6,169,318), and Windham *et al.* (US 6,587,575).

In regard to claims **3-6** (which are dependent on claim 1) and claims **8-12** (which are dependent on claim 7), the system and method of Schrader *et al.* lacks a computer monitor for displaying an inspection result generated by the computer means which performs algorithms for determining the scope of a defect and wherein the detecting means for sensing the portion of the radiation comprises at least one vision system which is a camera having a minimum window size of 480 pixels by 480 pixels.

Gomibuchi teaches (column 1, line 63 to column 2, line 39, column 3, lines 32-65, column 4, lines 4-9) to provide at least one vision system which is a charge coupled device (CCD) camera, a controller (for analyzing with algorithms the scope of a defect), and a monitor, in order to detect, process, and display defects with a high degree of accuracy. Further, CCD cameras are well known in the art. For example, McGrath teaches (column 1, lines 13-46) that commonly used moderate resolution CCD cameras comprise 512 rows and 768 columns. As another example, Windham *et al.* teach (column 11, lines 1-7) that high resolution CCD cameras are commercially available.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,272

Art Unit: 2878

Page 5

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a high resolution (e.g., a minimum window size of 480 pixels by 480 pixels) CCD camera and a computer monitor in the system and method of Schrader et al., in order to detect, analyze, and display defects with a high degree of accuracy as taught by Gomibuchi.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shun Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-4860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

CONSTANTINE HANNAHER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ART UNIT 2878

SL August 28, 2003